

## **GUIDELINES FOR REVIEWERS**

(Revised Jan. 2022)

Invitations for reviews are sent out by individual emails, no special editorial online system is used so far. After accepting to review the manuscript, reviews are expected via email to the responsible handling AJES editor. Please, consider the review form of AJES, especially the following questions:

1. Is the material presented suitable for the journal?
2. Is the title clear and informative?
3. Does the Abstract actually abstract the important results and conclusions of the paper?
4. Is the article well organized and clearly presented?
5. Are the ideas expressed in the article new and original?
6. Are the interpretations and conclusions justified by the data presented in the paper?
7. Has the necessary previous work been properly credited?
8. Are the illustrations and tables presented all necessary?
9. Are any more illustrations or tables required?
10. Are the illustrations presented of suitable quality, taking reduction of size into account?
11. Is the reference list complete and in the proper format?
12. Can the article be shortened without any loss of important information?
13. Is the English of suitable quality to be published as it stands?
14. Can you make brief suggestions to improve the quality of the paper?

Please explain briefly: Why is this paper of general interest? Why are the results and interpretations of this paper noteworthy? Will the paper be cited by the readership of AJES?

Possible recommendations are:

1. Acceptance as the article stands (no revision needed);
2. Acceptance after minor revision (only minor revisions without further review round);
3. Acceptance after major revision (moderate revisions with at least one review needed);
4. Major revision required with re-refereeing (completely new review round);
5. Rejection.

